

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

DIVISION OF ENGINEERING SERVICES

Office of Structural Materials

Quality Assurance and Source Inspection



Bay Area Branch
690 Walnut Ave.St. 150
Vallejo, CA 94592-1133
(707) 649-5453
(707) 649-5493

Contract #: 04-0120F4Cty: SF/ALA Rte: 80 PM: 13.2/13.9File #: 1.28**WELDING INSPECTION REPORT****Resident Engineer:** Casey, William**Address:** 333 Burma Road**City:** Oakland, CA 94607**Report No:** WIR-028984**Date Inspected:** 11-Jan-2013**Project Name:** SAS Superstructure**OSM Arrival Time:** 700**Prime Contractor:** American Bridge/Fluor Enterprises, a JV**OSM Departure Time:** 1730**Contractor:** American Bridge/Fluor Enterprises, a JV**Location:** Job Site**CWI Name:** As noted below.**CWI Present:** Yes No**Inspected CWI report:** Yes No N/A**Rod Oven in Use:** Yes No N/A**Electrode to specification:** Yes No N/A**Weld Procedures Followed:** Yes No N/A**Qualified Welders:** Yes No N/A**Verified Joint Fit-up:** Yes No N/A**Approved Drawings:** Yes No N/A**Approved WPS:** Yes No N/A**Delayed / Cancelled:** Yes No N/A**Bridge No:** 34-0006**Component:** Tower**Summary of Items Observed:**

Quality Assurance Inspector (QA) William Clifford was at the American Bridge/Fluor (ABF) job site at Yerba Buena Island in California between the times noted above in order to monitor Quality Control functions and the in process work being performed by ABF personnel. The following items were observed:

Approximately 7:00am this QA attended a meeting with ABF, Quality Control (QC), and QA personnel to discuss Ultrasonic Testing of tower shear plate electrosag welds. This QA was informed that two (2) teams of tandem QA/QC inspectors would be performing UT per the supplemental procedure SE-UT-D1. 5-CT-108-ESW-R5. Discussed during this meeting were methods for establishing (x) coordinates of indications found on butt welds with mis-matched thicknesses. (ie. 80mm to 100mm)

Also discussed during this meeting was the process to be followed in performing tandem inspection and the estimated amount of completed inspection per shift.

Ultrasonic Testing of ESW**ESW P, Face A:**

This QA performed Ultrasonic Testing (UT) on approximately 900mm of Tower Electrosag Complete Joint Penetration (CJP) shear plate weld designated as "ESW P" face A. Location (Y=5800~6700) of this weld was inspected using this testing method.

This weld was previously accepted by QC Ultrasonic technicians in accordance with supplemental procedure SE-UT-D1.5-CT-108-ESW-R5.

This QA observed one recordable indication at the time of testing. (Listed below)

This QA generated a TL-6027 UT report on this date.

WELDING INSPECTION REPORT

(Continued Page 2 of 2)

The following indications were observed as having a transverse orientation. Due to joint configuration and weld cap shape these indications could not be evaluated for length or "X" location.

Indication #1: Y= 6080mm

Sizing – A=72db, B= 51db, C= 8db, D= 13db

L= 30mm, X= -15mm

Sound Path= 131mm, Depth= 45mm

This QA performed UT of weld designated as ESW P in accordance with the approved supplemental procedure. This testing was performed in tandem with QC technician Jesse Cayabyab. Tandem report for work performed on this date will be completed by QC technician and signed by both QA/QC parties. Items listed on tandem report reflect indications agreed upon by QA/QC. Due to QA/QC disagreement on indication interpretation, tandem report may not reflect all indications discovered by QA at time of testing. Please see TL-6027 for complete listing of QA recorded indications.

As per direction of QA Level III Robert Mertz this QA performed information only UT of ESW T, face A, at (Y= 7000mm~8000mm). This UT was performed to ascertain the existance of one (1) class A rejectable planar type indication. This QA could not locate the indication in question at time of testing. Upon review, the prescribed "Y" location was called in to question and testing was called off until "Y" location could be verified.

Unless otherwise noted, all work observed on this date appeared to generally comply with applicable contract documents.

Summary of Conversations:

Conversation as stated above.

Comments

This report is for the purpose of determining conformance with the contract documents and is not for the purpose of making repair or fit for purpose recommendations. Should you require recommendations concerning repairs or remedial efforts please contact Gary Thomas (916) 764-6027, who represents the Office of Structural Materials for your project.

Inspected By:	Clifford,William	Quality Assurance Inspector
Reviewed By:	Reyes,Danny	QA Reviewer
